

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,891	02/27/2002	Lloyd E. Harris	41961-00220	7801
25928 7	590 12/12/2003		EXAM	INER
CHRISTOPH	ER J. KULISH, ESQ		HWU, D.	AVIS D
HOLLAND &	HART LLP			
P. O. BOX 8749			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER CO 80201-8749			3752	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	09/683,891	HARRIS, LLOYD E.
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Davis Hwu	3752
: Period f r l	The MAILING DATE f this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondenc address
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure - Any reply earned p	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication from the provision of the maximum statutory properties of the provision o	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a run. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirteriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB mailing date of this communication, even if the statute.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
·			
3)∐ Si	his action is FINAL . 2b) 2bince this application is in condition for all osed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition	of Claims		
4a 5)□ CI 6)□ CI 7)□ CI	laim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applica) Of the above claim(s) is/are with laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application		aron orosaon roquiromona.	
_	e specification is objected to by the Exar	miner	
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Examiner.
	oplicant may not request that any objection to		•
Re	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Pri rity und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docun Certified copies of the priority docun Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a mowledgment is made of a claim for dome a specific reference was included in the CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language mowledgment is made of a claim for dome a claim for dome consideration of the foreign language mowledgment is made of a claim for dome consideration of the first sentence of the consideration of the consideration of the first sentence of the consideration of the consideration of the first sentence of the consideration of the conside	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). I list of the certified copies not prestic priority under 35 U.S.C. (a first sentence of the specifical provisional application has be nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. (a first sentence of the specifical provisional application has be nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. (a)	opplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application ation or in an Application Data Sheet een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)			
Notice of Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
. Patent and Trader OL-326 (Rev.		ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/683,891 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

Election/R strictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-21, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 239, subclass 311.

II. Claims 22-28, drawn to a method, classified in class 239, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method does not require the combination of the first, second, third pneumatic devices of the apparatus.

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: Claims 1-16;

Species 2: Claims 17-21.

4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appear to be generic.

Art Unit: 3752

5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

- 6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is 703-305-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,891 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703)308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Davis Hwu